Risk of osteoporosis and fracture in long-term breast cancer survivors
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Purpose: High incidence of osteoporosis has been reported in breast cancer patients due to early menopause triggered by adjuvant treatment and temporary ovarian function suppression. In this study, we sought to determine whether long-term breast cancer survivors had an elevated risk of low bone density compared to the general population.

Methods: Long-term breast cancer survivors who had been treated for more than 5 years were selected for this study. Data were obtained from medical records and using a questionnaire from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). An age-matched non-cancer control group was selected from the KNHANES records. Incidence of fracture and bone mineral density (BMD) were compared between the two groups.

Results: In total, 74 long-term breast cancer survivors and 296 non-cancer controls were evaluated. The incidence of fracture did not differ between the two groups (P = 0.130). No differences were detected in lumbar BMD (P = 0.051) following adjustment for body mass index, while hip BMD was significantly lower in breast cancer survivors (P = 0.028). Chemotherapy and endocrine treatment were not related to low BMD in breast cancer survivors. In more than half of the survivors, the 10-year risk of osteoporotic fracture was less than 1%.

Conclusion: Long-term breast cancer survivors had low bone density but a comparable risk of fracture compared to non-cancer age-matched controls. Further studies on the factors related to low bone density in long-term breast cancer survivors are required.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer treatment can accelerate bone loss and increase the incidence of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fracture [1,2]. Chemotherapy-induced amenorrhea and ovarian function suppression are related to the reduction in bone mineral density (BMD), and significant bone density loss and bone turnover have been reported in premenopausal patients with chemotherapy-induced amenorrhea [3]. The use of aromatase inhibitors has also been known to increase the risk of fracture [4]. Breast cancer survivors show an elevated incidence of fracture compared to the non-cancer population, and the risk increases with decreasing BMD [5]. Thus, several guidelines for breast cancer survivors recommend screening for osteoporosis, calcium and vitamin D supplementation, dietary education, and exercise [6,7] to mitigate this increased risk.

Osteoporosis and osteoporotic fracture are major concerns for breast cancer survivors that can have significant implications for quality of life; however, the risk of low bone density varies greatly...
between individuals. Exposure to estrogen is one of the risk factors for breast cancer, but it may also have a protective effect against osteoporosis [8]. Use of tamoxifen has been shown to have protective effects against bone loss in postmenopausal woman [9]. In addition, a population-based observational study on aromatase inhibitors and fracture risk in breast cancer survivors reported that the risk of fracture was comparable to that in the general population, after adjusting for age, baseline body mass index (BMI), osteoporosis medications, and other clinical factors [10].

Most research has focused on strategies for the treatment of breast cancer itself; investigation into the late and persistent effects of these treatments on the health status of long-term survivors is lacking. Chemotherapy, endocrine treatment, BMI, osteoporosis medications, health behaviors, and other clinical factors can offset the individual risk of bone loss, such that the real-world incidence of osteoporosis may differ from the results from clinical trials. In this study, we investigated the bone health status of long-term breast cancer survivors who had been treated for more than 5 years, compared to that in a non-cancer population, and evaluated treatment-related factors that have the potential to influence bone density.

METHODS

Study population

Patients from two tertiary healthcare institutions who had been diagnosed with breast cancer more than 5 years previously were enrolled from December 1, 2014, to November 30, 2015. To obtain a non-cancer control group for comparison, we retrieved data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) during the study period (2014–2015) and selected control data at a case/control ratio of 1:4 based on age and survey year through propensity score matching. Individuals who were selected for the non-cancer control group had no recorded history of breast cancer according to the survey. The survey collected personal information on age (at the time of survey), residence, economic status, menopausal status, pregnancy, breastfeeding, body weight, BMI, family history of osteoporosis, and family history of fracture or rheumatoid arthritis, as well as clinical data including vitamin D levels and BMD. The survey additionally included a lifestyle questionnaire on fracture history, physical activity, smoking, alcohol intake, and nutritional supplementation. Individuals who worked out at least 30 minutes five times a week were classified into the high physical activity group; those who drank alcohol less than once a month were included into the low alcohol consumption group. Smoking status was categorized as current smoker and past or nonsmoker. For the breast cancer patients who agreed to participate in the study, we obtained matching data from the KNHANES survey, as well as the clinical records regarding breast cancer status, including stage, pathologic results, and treatment.

We used the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. Osteoporosis is defined as a T-score below −2.5 based on BMD measurement, and osteopenia is defined as a T-score between −1.0 and −2.5. We collected data on the lumbar spine and femur neck BMD and compared the incidences of osteoporosis and osteopenia between the two groups, along with the factors that can affect the development of low bone density. We additionally assessed the subsequent risk of osteoporotic fracture over a 10-year period in breast cancer survivors using a Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX; University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK). This tool was developed by the WHO for predicting fracture risk using patient information such as age, body weight, height, previous fracture history, family history of osteoporosis and fracture, smoking history, and rheumatoid arthritis. This study received approval from the Institutional Review Board of Soonchunhyang University Hospital (IRB No. SCH 2015-10-019).

Statistical analysis

The chi-square test or Fisher exact test were used for the analysis of nominal variables. Continuous variables that were normally distributed, such as BMI of the lumbar spine and femur neck, were analyzed using the Student t-test. Variables that were not normally distributed, such as age, weight, BMI, age at the first pregnancy, and vitamin D level, were evaluated using the Mann-Whitney U test. Comparison of the incidence of osteoporosis between breast cancer survivors and non-cancer controls was performed using the Student t-test and Fisher exact test after adjusting for variables using the generalized estimating equation (GEE) by BMI. Factors affecting BMD were determined using the same procedures. The variables were assessed by GEE and adjusted for age and BMI. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the study population

A total of 74 long-term breast cancer survivors and 296 age-matched non-cancer controls were included in the study. The mean age was 54.0 ± 5.6 in the breast cancer group and 54.3 ± 6.7 in the non-cancer control group (P = 0.864). In the breast cancer survivor group, 30 patients (40.5%) were premenopausal at diagnosis, which was significantly more than the 230 (77.7%) pre-
menopausal individuals in the control group (P < 0.001). Age at the first pregnancy was also significantly different between the groups: patients in the breast cancer survivor group were older at the first pregnancy (26.6 ± 4.0 years) than individuals in the control group (21.1 ± 7.1 years) (P < 0.001). Breastfeeding was significantly more prevalent in the non-cancer control group than in the breast cancer survivor group (P = 0.033).

Mean body weight and BMI were both significantly higher in the non-cancer control group than in the breast cancer survivor group. The mean body weight was 58.3 ± 9.0 kg in the breast cancer survivor group and 63.3 ± 13.2 kg in the control group (P = 0.016), while the BMI was 23.7 ± 3.8 kg/m² in breast cancer survivors and 26.0 ± 5.5 kg/m² in the control group (P = 0.003).

Family history of osteoporosis did not differ between the breast cancer survivor (14.3%) and non-cancer control (17.9%) groups (P = 0.524). We identified one (1.4%) breast cancer survivor with a history of rheumatoid arthritis, and no individual in the control group had a history of rheumatoid arthritis.

In the breast cancer survivor group, 82.2% of patients (n = 60) received chemotherapy and 93.2% of patients (n = 69) were treated with endocrine therapy. Among these, 89.2% received tamoxifen and 10.8% received aromatase inhibitors (Table 1).

**Result of BMD measurement and incidence of fracture**

Fig. 1 shows the overall bone health status of each group. Among breast cancer survivors, 34.2% of patients had normal BMD; this proportion was lower than that in the non-cancer control group (53.4%). Osteopenia was noted in 50.7% of breast cancer survivors and 15.1% of patients had osteoporosis. In the non-cancer control group, 35.8% of individuals had osteopenia and 10.8% had osteoporosis (P = 0.028). The mean BMD of the lumbar spine in breast cancer survivors was −1.30 ± 1.25 g/cm², compared to −0.94 ± 1.32 g/cm² in non-cancer controls (Table 2). The mean BMD of the femur neck was −1.11 ± 1.14 g/cm² in the breast cancer survivor
group, compared to $-0.90 \pm 0.97 \text{ g/cm}^2$ in the control group ($P = 0.028$). Serum vitamin D levels were higher in the breast cancer group than in the control group ($P < 0.001$). There was no difference between the two groups in the incidence of fracture; breast cancer survivors had a fracture incidence of 2.7%, whereas non-cancer controls had a fracture incidence of 9.2% ($P = 0.146$). We performed additional analyses after adjustment for BMI. Femur BMD was significantly lower in breast cancer survivors after adjustment ($P = 0.028$), while serum vitamin D levels were consistently higher in breast cancer survivors after adjustment ($P < 0.001$). There was no difference in the incidence of fracture between the two groups ($P = 0.130$).

Factors related to low bone density, including osteopenia and osteoporosis, in breast cancer survivors after adjustment for age and BMI are described in Table 3. In patients with osteopenia, proportion of previous chemotherapy and endocrine therapy was higher, however, the difference was not statistically significant. Few patients were current smokers or drank alcohol; therefore, we were

![Fig. 1. Bone mineral density status in long-term breast cancer survivors compared to non-cancer controls.](image)

Table 2. Bone health status in breast cancer survivors and non-cancer controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Breast cancer survivors</th>
<th>Non-cancer controls</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>P-value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar BMD (g/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>$-1.30 \pm 1.25$</td>
<td>$-0.94 \pm 1.32$</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip BMD (g/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>$-1.11 \pm 1.14$</td>
<td>$-0.90 \pm 0.97$</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum vitamin D (ng/mL)</td>
<td>$29.1 \pm 15.2$</td>
<td>$17.6 \pm 6.3$</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of fracture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
<td>6 (9.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>72 (97.3)</td>
<td>59 (90.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74 (100)</td>
<td>296 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%).

<sup>a</sup>P-value by generalized estimating equation and adjusted by body mass index.

Table 3. Factors associated to osteopenia loss in breast cancer survivors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Osteopenia (lumbar)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Osteopenia (femur)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38 (84.4)</td>
<td>0.287 (0.044–1.854)</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>32 (78)</td>
<td>1.424 (0.283–7.169)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine treatment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>42 (91.3)</td>
<td>5.167 (0.496–53.823)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>38 (90.5)</td>
<td>5.337 (0.565–50.423)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3 (7.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>37 (80.4)</td>
<td>0.866 (0.220–3.407)</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>31 (73.8)</td>
<td>3.266 (0.777–13.734)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D supplements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>35 (76.1)</td>
<td>0.517 (0.154–1.742)</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>33 (78.6)</td>
<td>0.457 (0.147–1.426)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium supplements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33 (71.7)</td>
<td>0.429 (0.132–1.392)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>30 (71.4)</td>
<td>0.462 (0.153–1.394)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9 (19.6)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11 (26.2)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11 (23.9)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9 (21.4)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NA, not available.

<sup>d</sup>P-value by generalized estimating equation and adjusted by age and body mass index.
unable to evaluate these factors. There was no significant difference in bone density with respect to the level of physical exercise, vitamin D supplementation, or calcium supplementation.

The FRAX score of breast cancer survivors is shown in Fig. 2. The 10-year risk of femur neck fracture was less than 1% in 71.62% of survivors. Almost all (n = 72; 97.29%) of the breast cancer patients had a 10-year risk lower than 3%.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found that the incidence of fracture was comparable between long-term breast cancer survivors and non-cancer age-matched controls. Although BMD of the femur neck was significantly lower in breast cancer survivors, the actual risk of fracture was similar in both groups. We found that the 10-year risk for femur neck fracture was less than 3% in most survivors, while in example case of age 55 years with femur BMD –1.0 g/cm², fracture was less than 3% in most survivors, while in example case of

In this study, we found that the incidence of fracture was comparable between long-term breast cancer survivors and non-cancer age-matched controls. However, BMD of the femur neck was significantly lower in breast cancer survivors, the actual risk of fracture was similar in both groups. We found that the 10-year risk for femur neck fracture was less than 3% in most survivors, while in example case of age 55 years with femur BMD –1.0 g/cm², and BMI 24 kg/m², 10-year probability of hip fracture assumed by FRAX presents 0.3% in the absence of additional risk factors.

With the increase in the number of long-term breast cancer survivors, there have been several reports on their health status. After treatment for breast cancer, lymphedema, osteoporosis, and heart disease can occur. Ovarian dysfunction can also occur due to endocrine therapy and chemotherapy, leading to bone loss. To prevent osteoporosis, guidelines recommend bisphosphonate therapy with calcium and vitamin D supplementation and monitoring of BMD as risk assessment tool prior to the administration of aromatase inhibitors [11-13]. A previous study reported at least one secondary cause of bone loss other than cancer-related treatment in 78% of patients in the breast cancer group and 77% of individuals in the non-breast cancer group, and the most common causes of osteopenia were vitamin D deficiency, idiopathic hypercalcitria, and primary hyperparathyroidism [14]. Adjuvant treatment for breast cancer can affect bone density, and while there have been several studies encompassing the treatment periods, there are limited data on the status of long-term survivors and on whether it is possible to restore low bone density after initial adjuvant therapy.

Most breast cancer survivors included in this study were treated with chemotherapy or endocrine therapy, which can induce ovarian dysfunction, leading to early menopause [15]. Estrogen is involved in the preservation of bone mass and block bone resorption [16]. Chemotherapeutic agents may suppress ovarian function and promote bone loss in premenopausal women [17]. Tamoxifen, one of the most frequently prescribed medications in endocrine treatment, can cause osteoporosis by inducing estrogen deficiency in premenopausal women, while having a protective effect on postmenopausal patients. The use of aromatase inhibitors is also related to an increased incidence of fracture [18]. In this study, breast cancer survivors had a higher rate of premenopausal status than the non-cancer controls; thus, it is possible that premenopausal tamoxifen exposure influenced the reduction in bone density.

Although the breast cancer survivor group displayed a low BMD compared to the non-cancer controls, vitamin D levels in this group were significantly higher. If the level of vitamin D is low, bone mineralization goes defective and results in low bone mass [19]. Vitamin D deficiency is known as a surrogate risk factor for breast cancer [20], and vitamin D supplementation is associated with improved outcomes in colorectal cancer [21,22]. However, it has yet not been established whether routine supplementation with vitamin D can improve survival outcomes in breast cancer. Regardless of the improvement in cancer-related survival, appropriate vitamin D and calcium supplementation are important for healthcare in breast cancer survivors [23,24]. In the two institutions from which our cohort was selected, evaluation of vitamin D levels was left to the preference of the physician; therefore, most long-term breast cancer survivors who had low vitamin D levels had been recommended to take vitamin D and calcium supplements.

One patient in this study with a FRAX score of 4 had the highest risk of osteoporotic fracture; she was diagnosed before menopause and underwent partial mastectomy followed by radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and endocrine therapy. She was diagnosed with osteoporosis but did not experience osteoporotic fracture even with a low BMD. Her vitamin D levels were routinely checked, and she received vitamin D and calcium supplementation and bisphosphonate treatment; this demonstrates the importance of optimal supportive care.

In the present study, we evaluated long-term survivors who were diagnosed with breast cancer and treated with multiple treatments, who may have altered their lifestyle during treatment, or who may have been under a physician’s care for the late consequences of ad-
juvant treatment. We compared these patients with real-world data from the KNHANES, effectively establishing an age-matched control group rather than using hospital-based controls. Our findings did not reveal significant risk factors for low bone density related to previous treatment history. It is possible that adjuvant treatment may not have long-term effects or that supportive care can offset the effects of adjuvant treatment, which needs further evaluation.

This study has several limitations. The questionnaire was dependent on patient recall and can reflect lifestyle modifications made after a diagnosis of osteoporosis in both breast cancer patients and the non-cancer control group; thus, it is difficult to determine which factors influence each other. Moreover, we were not able to retrieve data on the family history of fractures or corticosteroid use, which are essential for calculating the FRAX score in non-cancer population. Although we investigated the incidence of fracture, we were unable to determine whether osteoporosis was the cause of fracture. Finally, there were only a few long-term survivors who had been diagnosed for more than 5 years; thus, the number of patients included in this study was relatively small.

In conclusion, we found low bone density in long-term breast cancer survivors compared to that in the general population; however, the incidence of fractures between the groups were comparable. Our findings indicate that beyond cancer treatment, appropriate survivorship care is recommended to improve bone health in long-term breast cancer survivors.
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